Existence of periodic orbits for a strongly non-linear non-ideal problem
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Abstract. In this paper the existence of periodic orbits for a strongly non linear problem is rigorously proved. The non perturbed part of the system involves a cubic polynomial. In order to approach such problem is necessary to use elliptic functions. For non ideal problems this the first time that such kind of result is obtained.
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1 Introduction

In the literature on non-ideal problems, see for example [1], only weakly non linear problems are approached. By using a line of attack similar to that one used in [4] a rigorous result on existence of periodic orbits for a strongly non linear non ideal problem is obtained. This is in fact only the first step of a research program which aim is to study the Sommerfeld Effect for strongly non linear problems. A rigorous approach for the Sommerfeld Effect in weakly non linear non ideal problems was performed in [3].

In Section 2 a model of the Centrifugal Vibrator is given and some scales are introduced which lead to a non linear problem. In Section 3 by using Regular Perturbation Theory and Poincaré Method the existence result is obtained.

2 The Centrifugal Vibrator

We consider a mechanical system excited by a DC motor, with limited supply power, which base is supported on a spring. Besides, the DC motor rotates a small mass \( m \), Figure 1. This mechanism has the main properties of a machine known as centrifugal vibrator.

The equations of motion of this system were obtained before by [8], see page 38 . The mathematical model is given by the following system:

\[
\begin{align*}
    m_1 \ddot{x} + \beta \dot{x} + cx + dx^3 &= mr \dot{\varphi}^2 \cos \varphi + mr \dot{\varphi} \sin \varphi, \\
    I \ddot{\varphi} &= M(\dot{\varphi}) + mr \dot{x} \sin \varphi + mgr \sin \varphi
\end{align*}
\]  

(1)
where \( m_1 = m_0 + m \) and \( m_0 \) denote the mass of the DC motor. The resistance of the oscillatory motion is a linear force \( \beta x \). The constant \( c \) is the stiffness of the spring. And \( d \) is the elasticity coefficient that describes how much the behaviour of the spring moves away from the linear case.

For the remainder of this paper, we consider all constants that appear in equation (1) strictly positive. We will denote by \( r \) the distance between the mass \( m \) and the axis of rotation of the DC motor. \( J \) and \( mr^2 \) are the moment of inertia of the rotating parts of the DC motor and the moment of inertia of the rotating mass \( m \), respectively. Therefore, the total moment of inertia of the system is given by \( I = J + mr^2 \). Furthermore, \( g \) denotes the acceleration of gravity. Note that the function \( M(\dot{\phi}) \) is the difference between the driving torque of the source of energy (motor) and the resistive torque applied to the rotor. Such function \( M(\cdot) \) is obtained from experiments.

**Remark 2.1.** In some cases, see for example [6, eq.4], one can take \( M(\dot{\phi}) = a - b \dot{\phi} \) where \( a, b > 0 \). This is important because satisfies a hypothesis of our existence result.

We can rewrite the equations of motion (1) as a system of first order. Taking

\[
\omega^2 = \frac{c}{m_1}, \quad a_2 = -\frac{\beta}{m_1}, \quad a_3 = \frac{d}{m_1}, \quad a_4 = \frac{mr}{m_1}, \quad a_5 = \frac{mr}{I},
\]

\[
a_6 = \frac{mgr}{I}, \quad M_1(\dot{\phi}) = \frac{M(\dot{\phi})}{I}.
\]

Now, let us introduce a small parameter \( \varepsilon \) in the above parameters. Let us replace the parameters \( a_i, i \neq 3 \) and \( \omega \) by \( \varepsilon a_i, i \neq 3 \) and \( \varepsilon \omega \) respectively. Moreover, let us substitute \( M_1(\dot{\phi}) \) by \( \varepsilon M_1(\dot{\phi}) \). Hence from (1) one obtains

\[
\begin{cases}
\ddot{x} + \varepsilon^2 \omega^2 x &= -a_3 x^3 + \varepsilon \left( a_4 \dot{\phi}^2 \cos \phi + a_4 \ddot{\phi} \sin \phi + a_2 \dot{x} \right), \\
\ddot{\phi} &= \varepsilon \left( M_1(\dot{\phi}) + a_5 \ddot{x} \sin \phi + a_6 \sin \phi \right).
\end{cases}
\]

By making \( x_1 = x, x_2 = \dot{x}, x_3 = \phi, x_4 = \dot{\phi} \) in (2) it is obtained after a
long, but straightforward, computation that
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\dot{x}_1 \\
\dot{x}_2 \\
\dot{x}_3 \\
\dot{x}_4 \\
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
x_2 \\
-a_3 x_1^3 + \varepsilon \left(a_2 x_2 + a_4 x_4^2 \cos x_3\right) \\
x_4 \\
\varepsilon \left(M_1(x_4) + a_6 \sin x_3\right)
\end{pmatrix} + O\left(\varepsilon^2\right).
\]  
(3)

2.1 A Change of Variables and Reduction

From now on jacobian elliptic functions will be used in the next steps. Basic references are [5] and [9].

Remark 2.2. The jacobian cosine with modulus $1/\sqrt{2}$ is denoted by $cn\left(t, 1/\sqrt{2}\right)$ and its period by $k_0$. Note that $cn''\left(t, 1/\sqrt{2}\right) = -cn^3\left(t, 1/\sqrt{2}\right)$.

By taking $x_1 = C \text{cn}\left(D, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$, $x_2 = \sqrt{a_3} C^2 \text{cn}'\left(D, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$ in (3) we get

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\dot{C} \\
\dot{D} \\
\dot{x}_3 \\
\dot{x}_4 \\
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
\sqrt{a_3} C \\
x_4 \\
0
\end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix}
a_2 C - \frac{a_2 C \text{cn}\left(D, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)}{2} + \frac{a_4 x_4^2 \cos x_3 \text{cn}'\left(D, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)}{\sqrt{a_3} C} \\
-a_2 \text{cn}\left(D, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \text{cn}'\left(D, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) - \frac{a_4 x_4^2 \cos x_3 \text{cn}\left(D, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)}{\sqrt{a_3} C^2} \\
0 \\
M_1(x_4) + a_6 \sin x_3
\end{pmatrix} + O\left(\varepsilon^2\right).
\]  
(4)

Using the usual reduction process in (4) one has

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{dC}{dx_3} \\
\frac{dD}{dx_3} \\
\frac{dx_3}{dx_4} \\
\frac{dx_4}{dx_3}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
\sqrt{a_3} C \\
x_4 \\
0
\end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{2 \sqrt{a_3} a_4 x_4^2 \cos(x_3) \text{cn}'\left(D, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)}{2a_3 x_4 C} + a_2 C^2 \left(a_3 - a_3 \text{cn}^4\left(D, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\right) \\
-a_2 a_3 C^2 \text{cn}\left(D, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \text{cn}'\left(D, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) + \sqrt{a_3} a_4 x_4^2 \cos(x_3) \text{cn}\left(D, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \\
0 \\
\left(M_1(x_4) + a_6 \sin x_3\right)
\end{pmatrix} + O\left(\varepsilon^2\right).
\]  
(5)
Consider the following change of variables $D ( x_3 ) = D_1 ( x_3 ) - \frac{k_0 x_3}{2 \pi}$. By using this change of variables into (5) one obtains
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{dC}{dx_3} \\
\frac{dD}{dx_3} \\
\frac{dx_4}{dx_3}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
\frac{2 \pi \sqrt{a_3} C + k_0 x_4}{2 \pi x_4} \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
+ \epsilon \left( \frac{2 \sqrt{a_3} x_4^2 \cos(x_3)}{a_3} + a_2 C^2 \left( a_3 a_4 \right) \right) + \frac{a_2 a_3 C^2 \cos(x_3)}{a_3 x_4^2} + \frac{M_1(x_4) + a_6 \sin(x_3)}{x_4} + O ( \epsilon^2 )
\]

Observe that (6) is $2 \pi$ periodic system in the “time” $x_3$. The main aim of this paper is to prove the existence of $2 \pi$ periodic orbits for this system.

### 3 Searching for Periodic Orbits: A Perturbation Approach

In this section the Regular Perturbation Theory and the Poincaré Method are used in order to obtain our existence result. A good reference for both is [2].

Taking into account the Remark 2.1, let us assume the following condition on $M_1$: 
There is $s_0 > 0$ such that
\[
M_1 ( s_0 ) = 0 \text{ and } M'_1 ( s_0 ) \neq 0.
\]

From now on, the variable $x_3$ will be replaced by $s$ in (6). In this system take the following initial conditions $(C (0), D_1 (0), x_4 (0)) = (a, b, c_0) + \epsilon (0, 0, c)$ where $c_0$ is an adequately chosen parameter. Let $\Phi$ be the flow of (6). It is well known, see [7, Th. 3.3, pg.21], that $\Phi$ is a $C^\infty$ mapping of the initial conditions as well as of the parameters. Hence from Taylor’s formula
\[
\Phi (s, a, b, c_0 + \epsilon c, \epsilon) = \Psi_0 (s, a, b, c_0) + \Psi_1 (s, a, b, c_0) \epsilon + O (\epsilon^2)
\]
where $\Psi_i, i = 0, 1$ and the remainder $O (\epsilon^2)$ are $C^\infty$ mappings. One has that $\Psi_0 (s, a, b, c_0) = (C_0 (s), D_{10} (s), x_{40} (s))$ satisfies the following system
\[
C_0' (s) = 0, D_{10}' (s) = \frac{2 \pi \sqrt{a_3} C_0 (s) + k_0 x_{40} (s)}{2 \pi x_{40} (s)}, x_{40} (s) = 0
\]
with initial conditions given by $C_0 (0) = a, D_{10} (0) = b, x_{40} (0) = c_0$. By taking $c_0 = -\frac{2 \pi a \sqrt{a_3}}{k_0}$ one obtains from the foregoing initial conditions and (9) that
\[
C_0 (s) = a, D_{10} (s) = b, x_{40} (s) = -\frac{2 \pi a \sqrt{a_3}}{k_0}.
\]
Similarly \( \Psi_1 (s, a, b, c) = (C_1 (s), D_{11} (s), x_{41} (s)) \) can be obtained in this way, so

\[
C_1' (s) = - \frac{1}{4 \pi k_0 \sqrt{a_3}} \left( 8 \pi^2 \sqrt{a_3} a_4 \cos (s) \right) \frac{d}{ds} \left( - \frac{k_0 s - 2 \pi b}{2 \pi} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)
\]

\[
- k_0^2 a_2 \cos \left( \frac{k_0 s - 2 \pi b}{2 \pi} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + k_0^2 a_2 \),
\]

\[
D_{11}' (s) = \frac{1}{4 \pi^2 k_0 a_3} \left( \sqrt{a_3} \left( 2 \pi k_0 a_2 \cos \left( \frac{k_0 s - 2 \pi b}{2 \pi} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right) \right.
\]

\[
\times \cos \left( \frac{k_0 s - 2 \pi b}{2 \pi} \right) - k_0^3 a_{41} (s)
\]

\[
+ 8 \pi^2 a_3 a_4 \cos (s) \cos \left( \frac{k_0 s - 2 \pi b}{2 \pi} \right) = 2 \pi k_0^3 a_3 \Phi_1 (s, a, b, c)
\]

\[
x'_{41} (s) = - \frac{k_0 a_6 \cos (s) + k_0 M_1 \left( - \frac{2 \pi a}{\sqrt{a_3}} \right)}{2 \pi a \sqrt{a_3}}
\]

with initial conditions given by \( C_1 (0) = 0, D_{11} (0) = 0, x_{41} (0) = c \). One obtains from these conditions, (11) and (13) that

\[
C_1 (s) = - \frac{1}{4 \pi k_0 \sqrt{a_3}} \left( 16 \pi^3 \sqrt{a_3} a_4 \int_{b - \frac{k_0 s}{2 \pi}}^{b} \cos \left( \frac{2 \pi u}{k_0} - \frac{2 \pi b}{k_0} \right) du \right)
\]

\[
- 2 \pi k_0^2 a_2 \int_{b - \frac{k_0 s}{2 \pi}}^{b} \cos \left( \frac{2 \pi u}{k_0} \right) du + k_0^3 a_2 s
\]

and

\[
x_{41} (s) = \frac{k_0 a_6 \cos (s)}{2 \pi \sqrt{a_3}} - \frac{k_0 M_1 \left( - \frac{2 \pi a}{\sqrt{a_3}} \right)}{2 \pi \sqrt{a_3}} s - \frac{k_0 a_6}{2 \pi \sqrt{a_3}} + c.
\]

By substituting (14) and (15) into (12) and after a long computation, one gets

\[
D_{11} (s) = F (s, a, b) - \frac{k_0^2 c}{4 \pi^2 a \sqrt{a_3}}
\]

where \( F (s, a, b) \) has a huge expression and it will be omitted here. Anyway, one has \( F (0, a, b) = 0 \). Hence from (8),(10) one obtains that

\[
\Phi (s, a, b, c_0 + \epsilon c, c) = \left( a, b, - \frac{2 \pi a \sqrt{a_3}}{k_0} \right) + \epsilon (C_1 (s), D_{11} (s), x_{41} (s)) + O (\epsilon^2).
\]

The system (6) has a \( 2\pi \) solution if, and only if,

\[
\Phi (2 \pi, a, b, c_0 + \epsilon c, c) = \Phi (0, a, b, c_0 + \epsilon c, c).
\]
From (17), one has that (18) reduces itself to

\[(C_1(2\pi), D_{11}(2\pi), x_{41}(2\pi) - c) + O(\epsilon) = (0, 0, 0).\]  

(19)

A direct computation from (14) shows that

\[C_1(2\pi) = \frac{4\pi^2 k_1 a_4}{k_0^3} \sin \left( \frac{2\pi b}{k_0} \right) - \frac{a_2 (k_2 - k_0)}{2\sqrt{a_3}} \]  

(20)

where

\[k_1 = \int_0^{k_0} cn'(u, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}) \sin \left( \frac{2\pi u}{k_0} \right) du, \quad k_2 = \int_0^{k_0} cn \left( u, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^4 du.\]

Then, by using (20), (15), (16) into (19) one has

\[\left( \frac{4\pi^2 k_1 a_4}{k_0^3} \sin \left( \frac{2\pi b}{k_0} \right) - \frac{a_2 (k_2 - k_0)}{2\sqrt{a_3}}, F(2\pi, a, b) - \frac{k_0^2 c}{2\pi a \sqrt{a_3}}, -\frac{k_0 M_1 \left( \frac{-2\pi \sqrt{a_3} a}{k_0} \right)}{2\pi a \sqrt{a_3}} \right) + O(\epsilon) = (0, 0, 0).\]  

(21)

Let us denote by \(\Psi(a, b, c, \epsilon)\) the left-hand side of (21). From the properties of the flow one concludes that \(\Psi\) is \(C^\infty\) mapping. Let us assume

\[\left| \frac{k_0^2 a_2 (k_2 - k_0)}{8\pi^2 k_1 \sqrt{a_3} a_4} \right| < 1.\]  

(22)

Consider \(\overline{\pi}, \overline{\sigma}, \overline{r}\) such that

\[M_1 \left( \frac{-2\pi \sqrt{a_3} \overline{\pi}}{k_0} \right) = 0, \sin \left( \frac{2\pi \overline{\sigma}}{k_0} \right) = \frac{k_0^2 a_2 (k_2 - k_0)}{8\pi^2 k_1 \sqrt{a_3} a_4}, \overline{\sigma} = \frac{2\pi \overline{\sigma}}{\sqrt{a_3} a} F(2\pi, \overline{\pi}, \overline{\sigma}).\]  

(23)

Of course due to (7) and (23) one has \(-\frac{2\pi \sqrt{a_3} \overline{\pi}}{k_0} = s_0\). In view of (22) the above system has at least two solutions. Anyway, one has that \(\Psi(\overline{\pi}, \overline{\sigma}, 0) = 0\). Then

\[\det \left( \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial (a, b, c)} (\overline{\pi}, \overline{\sigma}, 0) \right) = \frac{8\pi^3 k_1 a_4}{k_0^3 \sqrt{a_3}} M_1 \left( \frac{-2\pi \sqrt{a_3} \overline{\pi}}{k_0} \right) \cos \left( \frac{2\pi \overline{\sigma}}{k_0} \right).\]  

(24)

Because (22) and (7) hold the determinant in (24) is different from zero. Then, it follows from Implicit Function Theorem that there \(C^\infty\) functions \(a(\epsilon), b(\epsilon), c(\epsilon)\) with \(a(0) = \overline{\pi}, b(0) = \overline{\sigma}, c(0) = \overline{r}\) such that

\[\Psi(a(\epsilon), b(\epsilon), c(\epsilon), \epsilon) = 0\]  

(25)

for all \(\epsilon\) adequately small. From the above construction one concludes that \(\Phi(s, a(\epsilon), b(\epsilon), c_0 + \epsilon c(\epsilon), \epsilon)\) is \(2\pi\) is a periodic solution of (6) for \(\epsilon \ll 1\).
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