Some Remarks on the Stability of Discrete-Time Complex-Valued Multistate Hopfield Neural Networks
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In this paper, we review three discrete-time complex-valued Hopfield neural networks (CvMHNNs) proposed recently in the literature. Contrary to what has been stated, we provide examples in which the sequences produced by these CvMHNN fails to converge under the usual conditions on the synaptic weight matrix, that is, the synaptic weight matrix is hermitian with non-negative diagonal elements. Furthermore, we present one CvMHNN model that always settle down to a stationary state under the usual conditions on the synaptic weights.

1 Introduction

The Hopfield neural network (HNN) is one of the most important neural networks conceived for the storage and recall of bipolar vectors [5]. According to the neural network terminology, the discrete-time bipolar HNN is a single layer fully-connected recurrent neural network with hard-limiting neurons [3]. Despite the limited representational capability of single-layer recurrent neural network with hard-limiting neurons [2], the HNN has been successfully applied for computer vision modeling, signal reconstruction, image analysis, and optimization [4, 10]. It turns out, however, that most applications of the HNN require that the network yields a convergent sequence for any initial state. For this reason, there is a vast literature on the stability analysis of HNNs [6, 11].

Besides the widely used hard-limiting neurons, complex-valued neurons offer an advantageous representation of information [1, 6, 11]. For instance, a complex-valued neuron can appropriately treat phase and the information contained in phase. In particular, a complex-valued multistate neuron with \( K \) states generalizes the bipolar hard-limiting neuron by yielding one of the \( K \)-th power roots of one. A Hopfield neural network with such neurons is referred to as a complex-valued multistate Hopfield neural network (CvMHNN) [6].

As far as we know, CvMHNNs have been extensively studied since the early 1990s [1]. One of the most important contribution on CvMHNNs is the paper of Jankowski et al. [6]. Mistakenly, Jankowski et al. stated that a CvMHNN, operating asynchronously, always settle down at an equilibrium state if the synaptic weight matrix satisfies the usual conditions: the matrix is hermitian \( (w_{ij} = \bar{w}_{ji}) \) with non-negative diagonal \( (w_{ii} \geq 0) \). In 2014, however, Zhou and Zurada...
showed that the CvMHNN of Jankowski et al. may fail to yield a convergent sequence if \( w_{ii} = 0 \) [11]. Inspired by the theoretical findings of Zhou and Zurada, in this paper we show that three CvMHNN models introduced by Kobayashi in the last years may also fail to settle down at an equilibrium state [7, 8, 9]. Furthermore, as pointed out by Zhou and Zurada, the condition \( w_{ii} = 0 \) is often used in applications of the CvMHNN. For instance, some design methods to implement an associative memory using CvMHNN, including the generalized projection rule, requires \( w_{ii} = 0 \).

In the light of this remark, in this paper we propose a slight variation of the CvMHNN which, operating in the asynchronous update mode, always settle down to at a stationary state under the usual conditions on the synaptic weight matrix.

This paper is organized as follows: Next section presents some general concepts relative to CvMHNNs. In Section 3 we review the models proposed by Kobayashi and, contrary to what has been stated, we provide examples in which they fail to settle down to a stationary state. A slight variation of the CvMHNN which yields a convergent sequence for any initial state is proposed in Section 4. We finish the paper with some concluding remarks at Section 5.

2 Complex-Valued Multistate Hopfield Neural Networks

Let \( S = \{s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_{K-1}\} \subset \mathbb{C} \) be the set of all possible \( K \) states of a complex-valued multistate neuron. Also, let us consider discrete-time CvMHNNs composed by \( N \) complex-valued multistate neurons, whose dynamic is as follows. Given an initial state vector \( x(0) \in S^N \), we recursively define a sequence \( \{x(t)\}_{t \geq 0} \) using an asynchronous update model. Specifically, the next vector \( x(t+\Delta t) \) is obtained by updating the \( i \)th neuron as follows

\[
x_i(t+\Delta t) = \begin{cases} f(v_i(t)), & v_i(t) \in D, \\ x_i(t), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
\]

where \( f \) is a complex-valued function from \( D \subset \mathbb{C} \) to \( S \subset \mathbb{C} \) and

\[
v_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} w_{ij} x_j(t),
\]

is the activation potential of the \( i \)th neuron at iteration \( t \). Here, \( w_{ij} \in \mathbb{C} \) denotes the \( j \)th synaptic weight of the \( i \)th neuron.

**Remark 1.** Like the original Hopfield neural network [5], we assume that a CvMHNN are updated randomly and in an asynchronous manner, i.e., a single neuron is randomly selected and updated according to (1).

Usually, the **direct method of Lyapunov** is used to ensure the convergence of the sequence \( \{x(t)\}_{t \geq 0} \) produced by a CvMHNN. In mathematical terms, we must find a real-valued energy function \( E : S^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) which is bounded and decreasing along any non-stationary trajectory, i.e., the inequality

\[
\Delta E(x(t)) = E(x(t+\Delta t)) - E(x(t)) < 0,
\]
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must holds true whenever \( x(t + \Delta t) \neq x(t) \). In this case, we know that the time evolution of a CvMHNN yields a minimum value of \( E \). Equivalently, the network comes to rest at an equilibrium state \([5]\). The energy function given by

\[
E(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{x}_i w_{ij} x_j,
\]

where \( \bar{x} \) denotes the complex conjugate of \( x \), is predominantly used for the analysis of the stability of a CvMHNN \([6, 7, 8, 9]\).

3 The CvMHNN Models of Kobayashi

Consider an integer number \( K > 1 \), referred to as the resolution factor, and define the angle \( \Delta \theta = \pi / K \), called phase-quanta. In \([9]\), Kobayashi introduces a complex-valued multistate activation function, which we denote here by \( \text{csgn}_1 \). This function has domain \( \mathbb{C}^* \) and co-domain the set

\[
S_1 = \{1, e^{2i\Delta \theta}, e^{4i\Delta \theta}, \ldots, e^{2(K-1)i\Delta \theta}\}.
\]

Formally, \( \text{csgn}_1 \) is defined by

\[
\text{csgn}_1(z) = \begin{cases} 
1, & 0 \leq \text{arg}(z) < \Delta \theta, \\
 e^{2i\Delta \theta}, & \Delta \theta \leq \text{arg}(z) < 3\Delta \theta, \\
 \vdots & \vdots \\
 e^{2(K-1)i\Delta \theta}, & (2K-3)\Delta \theta \leq \text{arg}(z) < (2K-1)\Delta \theta \\
1, & (2K-1)\Delta \theta \leq \text{arg}(z) < 2\pi. 
\end{cases}
\]

The evolution equation of the CvMHNN model proposed in \([9]\) is based on (1) using \( f = csgn_1 \). We would like to point out, however, that Kobayashi does not address the case \( v_i(t) = 0 \).

Possibly unaware of the stability issues of CvMHNN, Kobayashi states – without presenting a formal proof – that the energy function given by (4) always decreases assuming an asynchronous update mode and the usual conditions on the synaptic weights. The following example, however, shows that this statement is not true.

Example 1. Let \( K = 2 \). Note that, in this case, the network admits only the following four states:

\[
x_1 = [1, 1]^T, \quad x_2 = [-1, 1]^T, \quad x_3 = [1, -1]^T, \quad \text{and} \quad x_4 = [-1, -1]^T.
\]

Consider the synaptic weight matrix given by

\[
W = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}.
\]

Starting at any of the four states, the network yields a random walk at the diagram depicted in Figure 1a). For instance, the probability of changing from the state \( x_1 \) to \( x_2 \) is 1/2, which corresponds to the probability of selecting the first neuron to be updated. In fact, if \( x(t) = x_1 \) and the first neuron is chosen to be updated, we obtain \( v_1(t) = 1 \) and \( x_1(t + \Delta t) = -1 \). Since there always exists a fifty percent probability to change states, the sequence given by (1) with \( f \equiv csgn_1 \) does not converge.
Figure 1: Diagram representing the Markov process of CvMHNN models.

In [7], Kobayashi introduces a second activation function that corresponds to a slight modification of \( \text{csgn}_1 \). We denote this second activation function by \( \text{csgn}_2 \). Like the function \( \text{csgn}_1 \), the domain of \( \text{csgn}_2 \) is \( \mathbb{C}^* \), but the co-domain is the set \( \mathcal{S}_2 = \{ e^{i\Delta \theta}, e^{3i\Delta \theta}, \ldots, e^{(2K-1)i\Delta \theta} \} \). Formally, we have

\[
\text{csgn}_2(z) = \begin{cases} 
  e^{i\Delta \theta}, & 0 \leq \arg(z) < 2\Delta \theta, \\
  e^{3i\Delta \theta}, & 2\Delta \theta \leq \arg(z) < 4\Delta \theta, \\
  \vdots & \vdots \\
  e^{(2K-1)i\Delta \theta}, & 2(K-1)\Delta \theta \leq \arg(z) < 2\pi.
\end{cases}
\]  

(9)

Note that the activation function \( \text{csgn}_2 \) can be obtained from \( \text{csgn}_1 \) using rotations by half of the phase-quanta. Precisely, we have

\[
\text{csgn}_2(z) = \phi^{-1}\left(\text{csgn}_1(\phi(z))\right), \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}^*,
\]  

(10)

where \( \phi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \) is the clockwise rotation map \( \phi(z) = e^{-i\Delta \theta/2}z \) and \( \phi^{-1}(z) = e^{i\Delta \theta/2}z \) is its inverse. Follows from (10) that the CvMHNN models given by (1) using \( f \equiv \text{csgn}_1 \) and \( f \equiv \text{csgn}_2 \) are equivalent. In particular, contrary to what have been stated in [7], we cannot ensure that the CvMHNN with \( f \equiv \text{csgn}_2 \) will always settle down at an equilibrium state.

Finally, a third activation function, which we shall denote by \( \text{csgn}_3 \), is introduced by Kobayashi in [8]. In mathematical terms, the activation function \( \text{csgn}_3 : \mathbb{C}^* \to \mathcal{S}_1 \) is defined as follows using
a certain probability \( p \) in a Bernoulli trial:

\[
csgn_3(z) = \begin{cases} 
1, & 0 \leq \text{arg}(z) < \Delta \theta, \\
1, & \Delta \theta < \text{arg}(z) < 3\Delta \theta, \\
e^{2i\Delta \theta}, & (2K-3)\Delta \theta < \text{arg}(z) < (2K-1)\Delta \theta, \\
e^{2(K-1)i\Delta \theta}, & (2K-1)\Delta \theta < \text{arg}(z) < 2\pi.
\end{cases}
\] (11)

Note that \( \text{csgn}_3 \) differs from \( \text{csgn}_1 \) if \( \text{arg}(z) = (2k-1)\Delta \theta \) for some \( k = 1, \ldots, K \). Precisely, a random draw is carried out if \( \text{arg}(z) = (2k-1)\Delta \theta \), for \( k \in \{1, \ldots, K\} \), and the function \( \text{csgn}_3 \) rotates either clockwise or counterclockwise to yield an element of \( S_1 \) given by (5). Moreover, in the deterministic case in which \( p = 0 \), we have \( \text{csgn}_3 \equiv \text{csgn}_1 \). Despite being a stochastic process when \( 0 < p < 1 \), a CvMHNN defined by (1) with \( f \equiv \text{csgn}_3 \) may fail to settle at an equilibrium state. The following example illustrates this remark.

**Example 2.** Consider the synaptic weight matrix \( W \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2} \) given by (8) and the resolution factor \( K = 2 \). In this case, the network admits only the following four states: \( x_1 = [1, 1]^T \), \( x_2 = [-1, 1]^T \), \( x_3 = [1, -1]^T \), and \( x_4 = [-1, -1]^T \). Starting at any of these four states, the network yields a random walk at the diagram depicted in Figure 1b). For instance, the probability of changing from the state \( x_1 \) to \( x_3 \) is \( p/2 \), which corresponds to the probability to obtain \( x_2(t + \Delta t) = -1 \). Now, the probability to select the second neuron is \( 1/2 \) and the probability to obtain \( \text{csgn}(-1) = 1 \) is \( p \). Thus, we have \( \Pr[x_2(t + \Delta t) = -1] = p/2 \). Since there always is a fifty percent probability to change states, the sequence given by (1) with \( f \equiv \text{csgn}_3 \) does not converge.

## 4 Modified CvMHNN and its stability analysis

Let us now introduce an activation function, denoted by \( \text{csgn}_r \), for which a CvMHNN always settles down at an stationary state in an asynchronous update mode under the usual conditions on the synaptic weights. Basically, the activation function \( \text{csgn}_r \) is a restriction of both \( \text{csgn}_1 \) and \( \text{csgn}_3 \) to the set

\[
R = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^* : \text{arg}(z) \neq (2k-1)\Delta \theta, \forall k = 1, \ldots, K \}.
\] (12)

Formally, the activation function \( \text{csgn}_r : R \rightarrow S_1 \) is defined by

\[
\text{csgn}_r(z) = \begin{cases} 
1, & 0 \leq \text{arg}(z) < \Delta \theta, \\
e^{2i\Delta \theta}, & \Delta \theta < \text{arg}(z) < 3\Delta \theta, \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
e^{2(K-1)i\Delta \theta}, & (2K-3)\Delta \theta < \text{arg}(z) < (2K-1)\Delta \theta, \\
1, & (2K-1)\Delta \theta < \text{arg}(z) < 2\pi.
\end{cases}
\] (13)
Note that \( \text{csgn}_r \) is not defined for a complex number \( z \) such that \( \arg(z) = (2k - 1)\Delta \theta, \ k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, K\} \). Therefore, the neuron of a CvMHNN given by (1) with \( f \equiv \text{csgn}_r \) is updated at time \( t \) if and only if \( \arg(v_\mu(t)) \neq (2k - 1)\Delta \theta \) for some \( k \in \{1, 2, \ldots\} \). The following theorem address the stability of the new CvMHNN model.

**Theorem 1.** The sequence defined by (1) with \( f(\cdot) = \text{csgn}_r(\cdot) \) is convergent for any initial state \( x \) in an asynchronous update mode if the weights satisfy \( w_{ji} = \bar{w}_{ij} \) and \( w_{ii} \geq 0 \).

**Proof.** Clearly, the energy function defined by (4) is real-valued and bounded from below. Let us show that \( \Delta E = E(x') - E(x) < 0 \) if \( x \equiv x(t) \) and \( x' \equiv x(t + \Delta t) \) for some \( t \geq 0 \). Since we have assumed an asynchronous update mode, only the \( \mu \)-th neuron changes its state at iteration \( t \). In other words, we have \( x'_{\mu} \neq x_{\mu} \) but \( x'_{j} = x_{j} \) for all \( j \neq \mu \). Moreover, we know that \( w_{\mu \mu} \) is a real number and \( |x_{\mu}'| = |x_{\mu}| = 1 \). Thus, we have \( \bar{x}_{\mu}w_{\mu \mu}x_{\mu} = \bar{x}_{\mu}'w_{\mu \mu}x_{\mu}' = w_{\mu \mu} \) and the variation of the energy function is

\[
\Delta E = E(x') - E(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{j \neq \mu} (\bar{x}_{\mu}' - \bar{x}_{\mu}) w_{\mu j} x_{j} + \sum_{i \neq \mu} \bar{x}_{i} w_{i \mu} (x_{\mu}' - x_{\mu}) \right].
\]

Recall that the conjugate of \( \sum_{j \neq \mu} \bar{x}_{i} w_{i \mu} (x_{\mu}' - x_{\mu}) \) is \( \sum_{j \neq \mu} (\bar{x}_{\mu}' - \bar{x}_{\mu}) w_{\mu j} x_{j} \) because \( w_{ij} = \bar{w}_{ji} \).

Also, the activation potential of the \( \mu \)-th neuron at iteration \( t \) is \( v_{\mu} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} w_{\mu j} x_{j} \). Hence, we have

\[
\Delta E = -\text{Re} \left\{ (\bar{x}_{\mu}' - \bar{x}_{\mu}) \sum_{j \neq \mu} w_{\mu j} x_{j} \right\} = -\text{Re} \left\{ (\bar{x}_{\mu}' - \bar{x}_{\mu}) (v_{\mu} - w_{\mu \mu} x_{\mu}) \right\} = -T_1 - T_2,
\]

where \( T_1 = \text{Re} \left\{ \bar{x}_{\mu}'v_{\mu} \right\} - \text{Re} \left\{ \bar{x}_{\mu}v_{\mu} \right\} \) and \( T_2 = w_{\mu \mu} \text{Re} \left\{ 1 - x_{\mu}'x_{\mu} \right\} \).

From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we derive \( \text{Re} \left\{ x_{\mu}'x_{\mu}' \right\} = |x_{\mu}'| |x_{\mu}'| < |x_{\mu}| |x_{\mu}'| = 1 \) because \( x_{\mu}' \) and \( x_{\mu} \) are not parallel vectors in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \). As a consequence, the inequality \( \text{Re} \left\{ 1 - x_{\mu}'x_{\mu} \right\} > 0 \) holds true and, since \( w_{\mu \mu} \geq 0 \), we conclude that \( T_1 = w_{\mu \mu} \text{Re} \left\{ 1 - x_{\mu}'x_{\mu} \right\} \geq 0 \). Let us now show that \( T_2 \geq 0 \).

We know that \( v_{\mu} = |v_{\mu}| e^{i\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \) and \( x_{\mu} = e^{i\theta} \neq x_{\mu}' = \text{csgn}_r(v_{\mu}) = e^{i\theta'} \). Thus, we have

\[
\text{Re} \left\{ \bar{x}_{\mu}'v_{\mu} \right\} = |v_{\mu}| |e^{-i\theta'} e^{i\alpha}| = |v_{\mu}| |e^{i(\alpha - \theta')}| = |v_{\mu}| |\cos(\alpha - \theta')| = |v_{\mu}| |\cos(\alpha - \theta')|.
\]

Similarly, we derive \( \text{Re} \left\{ \bar{x}_{\mu}v_{\mu} \right\} = |v_{\mu}| |\cos(\alpha - \theta')| \). From the definition of \( \text{csgn}_r \), however, we have \( |\alpha - \theta'| < \Delta \theta \) while \( |\alpha - \theta| > \Delta \theta \). Then, \( |\alpha - \theta'| < |\alpha - \theta| \) and \( |\alpha - \theta'| > \cos(|\alpha - \theta'|) \).

Consequently, \( |v_{\mu}| \cos(|\alpha - \theta'|) > |v_{\mu}| \cos(|\alpha - \theta|) \) which implies the inequality

\[
T_1 = \text{Re} \left\{ \bar{x}_{\mu}'v_{\mu} \right\} - \text{Re} \left\{ \bar{x}_{\mu}v_{\mu} \right\} > 0.
\]

Concluding, since both \( T_1 > 0 \) and \( T_2 \geq 0 \), we have \( \Delta E = -T_1 - T_2 < 0 \) whenever \( x_{\mu}(t + \Delta t) \neq x_{\mu}(t) \) for some index \( \mu \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N\} \). \( \square \)

### 5 Conclusions and Remarks

In this paper, we reviewed Hopfield neural network models whose neuron states reside in a finite set of unit complex numbers. Specifically, we focused our attention on the complex-valued multi-state Hopfield neural networks (CvMHNNs) introduced recently by Kobayashi [7, 8, 9]. Precisely,
we pointed out that these CvMHNN models do not always settle down at an equilibrium state. In addition, we proposed a new activation function $csgn_r$, which is obtained by restricting the domain of the activation functions of Kobayashi to the set $\mathbb{R}$ defined by (12). We proved that a CvMHNN with the function $csgn_r$ always settle down to an equilibrium state under the usual conditions for any initial state.
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