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Abstract. Neutral particle transport problems are fundamental in the modeling of energy transfer by radiation (photons) and by neutrons with many important applications. In this work, the novel ANN-MoC method for solving unidimensional neutral particle transport problems is presented. Following the Method of Discrete Ordinates (DOM) and decoupling with a Source Iteration (SI) scheme, the proposed method applies Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) together with the Method of Characteristics (MoC) to solve the transport problem. Once the SI scheme converges, the method gives an ANN that estimates the average flux of particles at any points in the computational domain. Details of the proposed method are given and results for two test cases are discussed. The achieved results show the potential of this novel approach for solving neutral particle transport problems.

Keywords. Artificial Neural Networks, Method of Characteristics, Neutral Particle Transport

1 Introduction

Photon and neutron transport are important examples of neutral particles transport phenomena. The first appears in many applications, mainly in that involving energy transport via radiative transfer [12]. Practical applications includes the design of industrial furnaces, combustion chambers, or forming processes such as glass and ceramics manufacturing [3, 9, 17]. Other applications are found in the fields of astrophysics [11, 13], medical optics [1, 6, 15, 18], developing of micro-electro-mechanical systems [8]. Neutron transport also has applications in medicine and clearly in nuclear energy generation [10, 14].

In this work, the neutral particle transport is assumed to be modeled in a unidimensional space domain \( D = [a, b] \) as it follows

\[ \forall \mu \in [-1, 1]: \mu \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} I(x, \mu) + \sigma_t I = \frac{\sigma_s}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} I(x, \mu') d\mu' + q(x, \mu), \forall x \in D, \]

(1a)

\[ \forall \mu > 0 : I(a, \mu) = I_a, \]

(1b)

\[ \forall \mu < 0 : I(b, \mu) = I_b, \]

(1c)

where \( I(x, \mu) \) is the angular flux of particles at the point \( x \in D = [a, b] \) and in the direction \( \mu \in [-1, 1] \), \( \sigma_t \) is the total absorption coefficient and \( \sigma_s \) the scattering coefficient, \( q(x, \mu) \), \( I_a \) and \( I_b \) are, respectively, the sources in \( D \) and on its boundary. The average flux of particles is given by

\[ \Psi(x) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} I(x, \mu) d\mu. \]

(2)

Many solution approaches are available to problem (1) (see, for instance, [10, 12]). One of the most applied is the so called Discrete Ordinates Method (DOM, [12]). By considering a numerical
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and the Source Iteration (SI) approximation of problem (1) is given as follows:

The computation of the integral term involving \( \Psi(x) \) is further decoupled by using the SI strategy, where the system is iteratively solved for approximations of \( \Psi(x) \approx \Psi^{(j)}(x) \), \( j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \), until a given stop criteria. At each SI iterate, one has a decoupled system of linear first order partial differential equations, which can be solved by the Method of Characteristics (MoC, [2]). To do so, one will need to compute an integral depending on the \( \Psi \) approximation.

In this work, we present a novel method to solve (1), it integrates and Artificial Neural Network (ANN, [4, 5]) into the DOM-MoC approach. The main idea is to train an ANN to estimate the average flux \( \Psi^{(j)} \) at each SI iterate. It is a meshless method, in the sense that it does not rely on a fixed domain mesh. After convergence, the method gives an ANN that estimate \( \Psi(x) \) for all \( x \in \overline{D} \).

## 2 The ANN-MoC Method

Following the Discrete Ordinates Method (DOM), we assume a numerical quadrature \( \{\mu_i, w_i\}_{i=1}^N \), and the SI approximation of problem (1) is given as follows:

\[
i = 1, \ldots, N: \quad \mu_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} I^{(j)}(x, \mu_i) + \sigma_t I^{(j)}(x) = \sigma_s \Psi^{(j-1)}(x) + q(x, \mu_i), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{D}, \tag{3a}
\]

\[
\mu_i > 0: \quad I_i^{(j)}(a) = I_a, \tag{3b}
\]

\[
\mu_i < 0: \quad I_i^{(j)}(b) = I_b, \tag{3c}
\]

where \( I_i^{(j)}(x, \mu_i) \), \( l = 1, 2, \ldots, L \), and \( \Psi^{(0)}(x) \) is a given initial approximation for \( \Psi(x) \). Then, the \( j \)-th approximation of the average flux is given by

\[
\Psi^{(j)}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N w_i I_i^{(j)}(x) \tag{4}
\]

Now we use the Method of Characteristics (MoC) by applying the change of variables \( x(s) = x_0 + s \cdot \mu_i \). Then, for each \( i = 1, \ldots, N \), equation (3a) can be rewritten as follows

\[
\frac{d}{ds} I_i^{(j)}(s) + \sigma_t I_i^{(j)}(s) = \sigma_s \Psi^{(j-1)}(s) + q(s, \mu_i), \tag{5}
\]

where \( I_i^{(j)}(s) = I_i^{(j)}(x(s)) \), and analogous for the other term. An integrating factor than gives us

\[
I_i^{(j)}(s) = I_i^{(j)}(0) e^{-\int_0^s \sigma_t ds'} + \int_0^s \left[ \Psi^{(j)}(s') + q(s', \mu_i) \right] e^{-\int_s^{s'} \sigma_t ds''} ds'
\]

The computation of the integral term involving \( \Psi^{(j)}(s) \) is an issue, since it usually requires the evaluation of \( \Psi^{(j)}(s) \) at several points \( s \in (0, s) \), which can be a large interval depending on the direction \( \mu_i \).

The idea of the proposed ANN-MoC method, is to train an Artificial Neural Network (ANN) to estimate \( \Psi^{(j)} \) at each source iteration. In the following, we simplify the notation by omitting the super-index \( (j) \).

### 2.1 ANN Average Flux Estimation

The ANN is assumed to be a Multilayer Perceptron (MLP, [5]) that has \( x \in \overline{D} \) as input and the estimate \( \tilde{\Psi}(x) \) as output. It is denoted by

\[
\tilde{\Psi}(x) = \mathcal{N} \left( x; \{ (W^{(i)}, b^{(i)}, f^{(i)}) \}_{i=1}^{n_t} \right), \tag{7}
\]
where \((W^{(l)}, b^{(l)}, f^{(l)})\) denotes the triple of the weights \(W^{(l)} = \left[ \mathbf{w}_{i,j}^{(l)} \right]_{1,i,j=1}^{N^{(l-1)},N^{(l)}}\), the bias \(b^{(l)} = \left( b_{i}^{(l)} \right)_{i=1}^{n^{(l)}}\) and the activation function \(f^{(l)}\) in the \(l\)-th layer of the network. The number of neurons (units) at each layer is denoted by \(n^{(l)}\), \(l = 1,2,\ldots,n_l\). The MLP forwardly computes
\[
a^{(l)} = f^{(l)} \left( W^{(l)} a^{(l-1)} + b^{(l)} \right),
\]
where \(a^{(0)} = x\) and \(\tilde{\Psi}(x) = a^{(n)}\).

Given a fixed structure (number of layers \(n_l\), number of units \(n^{(l)}\) per layer and the activation functions), the training of the ANN consists in solving the following optimization problem
\[
\min_{\{W^{(l)}, b^{(l)}\}_{l=1}^{L}} \frac{1}{n_s} \sum_{m=1}^{n_s} \left( \tilde{\Psi}^{(m)} - \Psi^{(m)} \right)^2
\]
for a given training set \(\{x^{(m)}, \tilde{\Psi}^{(m)}(x^{(m)})\}_{m=1}^{n_s}\), where \(n_s\) is the number of samples.

### 2.2 The ANN-MoC Algorithm

The proposed ANN-MoC method computes successive approximations of the average flux \(\Psi(x)\) for all points in the domain \(\overline{\Omega}\). It starts from the ANN (7) trained with given initial training set \(\{x^{(m)}, \tilde{\Psi}^{(0)}(x^{(m)})\}_{m=1}^{n_s}\), for randomly selected points \(x^{(m)} \in \overline{\Omega}, m = 1,2,\ldots,n_s\). Then, the approximation \(\tilde{\Psi}^{(j)}\) is iteratively computed from its previous \(\tilde{\Psi}^{(j-1)}\) by solving the problem (3) from the MoC solution (6) and by replacing \(\Psi^{(j)}(s')\) for its estimate from the ANN \(\mathcal{N}(s')\), trained on the last \(l=1\)-th source iteration.

The ANN-MoC algorithm follows the steps:

1. Set the ANN structure \(\mathcal{N}(x)\) with random weights and bias.
2. Set an initial approximation \(\Psi^{(0)}(x)\) for all \(x \in \overline{\Omega}\).
3. Set \(n_s\) and the set of points \(\{x^{(m)}\}_{m=1}^{n_s}\).
4. Train the ANN with the training set \(\{x^{(m)}, \tilde{\Psi}^{(0)}(x^{(m)})\}_{m=1}^{n_s}\).
5. Set the quadrature \(\{\mu_i, w_i\}_{i=1}^{N}\).
6. For \(j = 1,\ldots,L\):
   6.a) For \(i = 1,\ldots,N\), for \(m = 1,\ldots,n_s\):
      - If \(\mu_i > 0\), then \(s = (x^{(m)} - a)/\mu_i\)
        \[
        I_{(j)}^{(i)}(x^{(m)}) = I \delta e^{-\int_0^{s'} \sigma_{1} ds'} + \int_0^{s} \left[ \mathcal{N}(s') + q(s', \mu_i) \right] e^{-\int_{s'}^{s} \sigma_{1} ds''} ds'
        \]
      - If \(\mu_i < 0\), then \(s = (x^{(m)} - b)/\mu_i\)
        \[
        I_{(j)}^{(i)}(x^{(m)}) = I \delta e^{-\int_0^{s'} \sigma_{1} ds'} + \int_0^{s} \left[ \mathcal{N}(s') + q(s', \mu_i) \right] e^{-\int_{s'}^{s} \sigma_{1} ds''} ds'
        \]
6.b) Compute \(\Psi^{(j)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum w_i I_{(j)}^{(i)}\).
6.c) Retrain the ANN \(\mathcal{N}(x)\) with the new training set \(\{x^{(m)} , \tilde{\Psi}^{(j)}(x^{(m)})\}_{m=1}^{n_s}\).
6.d) Check a given stop criteria.
6.e) Reset the random set of points \(\{x^{(m)}\}_{m=1}^{n_s}\).
3 Results

In this section we present results of the application of the ANN-MoC method to solve two different problems. The first is set from a manufactured solution and the second is a benchmark problem selected from the specialized literature.

3.1 Problem 1: Manufactured Solution

We assume the exact angular fluxes are given as

$$\hat{I}(x, \mu) = e^{-\alpha \sigma_t x}.$$  \hfill (12)

By substituting in (1a), one obtains the source

$$q(x, \mu) = (\kappa - \alpha \sigma_t \mu) e^{-\alpha \sigma_t x}.$$  \hfill (13)

The exact average particle flux can be also analytically calculated as

$$\hat{\Psi}(x) = e^{-\alpha \sigma_t x}.$$  \hfill (14)

Figure 1: ANN-MoC (lines) versus the exact (dots) solutions of Problem 1 for several values of $\kappa$ and $\sigma_s$.

Figure 1 shows a comparison of the ANN-MoC versus the exact solutions for Problem 1 with several different values of $\kappa$ and $\sigma_s$. The approximated solutions have been achieved by using an 1-100-50-5-1 MLP with hyperbolic tangent as activation function on hidden layers and the sigmoid function to activate the output neuron. The Adam method [7] has been used for solving the optimization problem (9) at each training step. The Gauss-Legendre quadrature with $N = 100$ nodes has been assumed for the DOM angular discretization and the number of point samples has been fixed to $n_s = 101$. As stop criteria for the SI iterations, we have applied

$$\|\hat{\Psi}^{(I)} - \hat{\Psi}^{(I-1)}\|_2 < \max\{\epsilon, \epsilon \|\hat{\Psi}^{(I)}\|\},$$  \hfill (15)
with $\varepsilon = 10^{-5}$. 

Table 1: Average flux of particles computed at selected points for Problem 1 with $\kappa = 0.1$ and $\sigma_s = 0.5$. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n_s$</th>
<th>$\Psi(0.0)$</th>
<th>$\Psi(0.25)$</th>
<th>$\Psi(0.5)$</th>
<th>$\Psi(0.75)$</th>
<th>$\Psi(1.0)$</th>
<th>$|\Psi - \tilde{\Psi}|_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0.4722</td>
<td>0.2232</td>
<td>0.1051</td>
<td>0.0498</td>
<td>1.98E - 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.9998</td>
<td>0.4726</td>
<td>0.2232</td>
<td>0.1053</td>
<td>0.0498</td>
<td>1.48E - 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>0.9992</td>
<td>0.4724</td>
<td>0.2231</td>
<td>0.1053</td>
<td>0.0496</td>
<td>1.07E - 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>0.9995</td>
<td>0.4722</td>
<td>0.2231</td>
<td>0.1054</td>
<td>0.0496</td>
<td>1.22E - 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exact</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0.4724</td>
<td>0.2231</td>
<td>0.1054</td>
<td>0.0498</td>
<td>-x-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 presents the average flux of particles computed at selected domain points for Problem 1 with $\kappa = 0.1$ and $\sigma_s = 0.5$. One can observe that the increase of sample points from $n_s = 11$ to 201 produce similar results, which indicates the training of the MLP will not profit from further increasing the number of samples. This is due to the randomization of the sample points at each SI iteration.

### 3.2 Problem 2: Benchmark Solution

The second application of the ANN-MoC is for the benchmark problem available in the work [16, Table 1]. The problem sources are

$$q(x, \mu) = x - x^2, \quad (16)$$

and $I_a = I_b = 0$. The absorption coefficient is fixed to $\sigma_t = 1$.

![Figure 2: ANN-MoC (lines) versus the exact (dots) solutions for Problem 2 with $\sigma_s = 0.9, 0.99$ and 0.999.](image)

Figure 2 shows a comparison of the ANN-MoC (lines) versus the exact (dots) solutions for Problem 2 with the scattering coefficient set to $\sigma_s = 0.9, 0.99$ and 0.999. The ANN-MoC parameters were all set as the same used for solving the Problem 1, with $n_s = 101$. As in that case, we can observe very good accordance between the proposed method and the expected solutions.
4 Final Considerations

In this paper, the novel ANN-MoC method has been presented for solving unidimensional neutral particle transport problems. Its main idea is to apply an ANN for the estimates of the average flux of particles computed from a DOM-MoC approach. One of its advantages is to be a meshless method, since no fixed mesh is necessary in the computations. After the convergence of the SI iterations, the method gives an ANN to estimate the average flux at any point of the domain. The achieved first results have been presented and they show a very good accordance between the ANN-MoC and the expected solutions. This indicates the potential of the method as an alternative to be applied for the solution of more complex transport problems. Further work should also address on the ANN-MoC comparison with the classical strategy of estimating the average fluxes by interpolation on mesh points. If from one point of view the training and evaluation of an ANN is more expensive to compute that performing interpolation, it may be compensated by the need of relatively small number of sample points on a meshless structure.
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