

Conjugate fuzzy QL-implications obtained by the OWA-operator*

Íbero C. K. Benítez Renata H. S. Reiser Adenauer C. Yamin

PPGC, CDTEC, UFPel - Pelotas, RS

E-mail: {ickbenitez, reiser, adenauer}@inf.ufpel.edu.br

Benjamín R. C. Bedregal

PPSC/PPgEEC - DIMAP - UFRN - Natal, RN

E-mail: bedregal@dimap.ufrn.br

ABSTRACT

Fuzzy logic is a powerful theory to make a decision which is usually irresolute for one thing or another, making it difficult to reach a final agreement. So, fuzzy connectives have been extensively studied in computer science and widely used in practical applications such as decision-making pattern recognition also including medical diagnosis, clustering analysis and image processing [3].

Fuzzy implications and aggregation functions are mainly study in this work in order to obtain new representative members in the class of fuzzy QL-operators by analysing related mathematical properties.

Thus, the aggregating fuzzy QL-subimplications are introduced. They are obtained by action of the OWA-operator performed over the family of the product triangular subnorms along with standard fuzzy negation and the probabilistic sum. As the main results, this family of QL-subimplications extend related QL-implications by preserving their corresponding properties. For that, let U be the unitary interval ($U = [0, 1]$).

Consider the subconorm $S_i: U^2 \rightarrow U$, $S_i(x, y) = 1 - \frac{1}{i}(1 - x - y + xy)$ for $i \geq 1$, the product t-norm $T: U^2 \rightarrow U$, $T(x, y) = xy$ and the standard fuzzy negation $N_S: U \rightarrow U$, $N_S(x) = 1 - x$.

An n -tuple of real numbers belonging to U^n can be aggregate to a single real number on U by an aggregation function, which is a non-decreasing operator satisfying the following boundary conditions:

$$A(0, 0, \dots, 0) = 0 \text{ and } A(1, 1, \dots, 1) = 1.$$

Let $\sigma: \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^n$ be a permutation function ordering the elements: $x_{\sigma(1)} \leq x_{\sigma(2)} \leq \dots \leq x_{\sigma(n)}$. Let w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n be non negative weights ($w_i \geq 0$) such that their sum equals one ($\sum_{i=0}^n w_i = 1$). For all $\vec{x} \in U^n$, the n -ary aggregation function $A: U^n \rightarrow U$ called OWA-operator is given as:

$$A(\vec{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^n w_i x_{\sigma(i)}. \quad (1)$$

According with [4, 5], a function $I: U^2 \rightarrow U$ is a **fuzzy subimplication** if it satisfies the boundary conditions $I(1, 1) = I(0, 1) = I(0, 0) = 1$ together with the left antitonicity and right isotonicity. When a subimplication also verifies $I(1, 0) = 0$, it is called a **fuzzy implication** [1]. Additionally, QL-(sub)implication is a fuzzy (sub)implication defined, for all $x, y \in U$ by the following expression:

$$I_{S,N,T}(x, y) = S(N(x), T(x, y)), \quad (2)$$

when $T(S)$ is a t-(co)norm and N is a strong fuzzy negation.

*This work is supported by the Brazilian funding agencies CAPES and FAPERGS (Ed. PqG 06/2010, under the process number 11/1520-1).

Proposition 1. Let $\rho : U \rightarrow U$ be an automorphism [2]. The function $J_i : U^2 \rightarrow U$ and its conjugate function $J_i^\rho : U^2 \rightarrow U$, given in Eq.(3) and Eq.(4) respectively, are both fuzzy QL-subimplications:

$$J_i(x, y) = S_i(N_S(x), T_P(x, y)) = 1 - \frac{1}{i}(x - x^2y), \tag{3}$$

$$J_i^\rho(x, y) = S_i^\rho(N_S^\rho(x), T_P^\rho(x, y)) = \rho^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\rho(x)}{i}(1 - \rho(x)\rho(y)) \right), \forall x, y \in U. \tag{4}$$

Such family of fuzzy QL-subimplications is referred as \mathcal{J} .

Proposition 2. The QL-subimplication $J_i, J_i^\rho \in \mathcal{J}$ verify the properties:

I1 : If $S(N(x), x) = 1$ then $I(x, 1) \leq 1$, for all $x \in U$;

I2a : If $x_1 \geq x_2$ then $I(x_1, 0) \leq I(x_2, 0)$, for all $x_1, x_2 \in U$.

I2b : If $y_1 \geq y_2$ then $I(1, y_1) \leq I(1, y_2)$, for all $y_1, y_2 \in U$.

In [6], an k -ary function $\mathcal{F}_A : U^k \rightarrow U$ is called as (A, \mathcal{F}) -operator and given by:

$$\mathcal{F}_A(x_1, \dots, x_k) = A(F_1(x_1, \dots, x_k), \dots, F_n(x_1, \dots, x_k)), \forall x_1, \dots, x_k \in U. \tag{5}$$

Proposition 3. Let $\mathcal{T}_P = \{S_i(x, y) = 1 - \frac{1}{i}(1 - x - y + xy) : i \geq 1\}$ be a family of t -subnorms. The function $\mathcal{T}_{OWA} : U^2 \rightarrow U$ is a t -subconorm given by Eq.(6) in the following:

$$\mathcal{S}_{OWA}(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^n w_i S_{\sigma(i)}(x, y), \forall x, y \in U. \tag{6}$$

As the main result, we present the subclass of fuzzy QL-subimplication represented by a t -norm T_P , the standard negation N_S together with a t -subconorm \mathcal{S}_P , which is obtained by aggregating n fuzzy t -subconorms of the family \mathcal{S}_P .

Theorem 1. For all $x, y \in U$, the function $\mathcal{J}_{OWA} : U^2 \rightarrow U$ is a QL-subimplication, given by

$$\mathcal{J}_{OWA}(x, y) = \mathcal{S}_{OWA}(N_S(x), T_P(x, y)). \tag{7}$$

Proposition 4. The QL-subimplication \mathcal{J}_{OWA} verify **Ik** for $\mathbf{k} \in \{1, 2a, 2b\}$.

Concluding, by Prop. 4, the operator \mathcal{J}_{OWA} preserves the main properties of QL-subimplications. Further work considers the interrelations between this class of subimplications and their possible conjugate functions. Another interesting issue is related to dual constructions of QL-subimplications.

Keywords: OWA-operator, fuzzy QL-(sub)implications; fuzzy (sub)implications.

References

- [1] M. Baczyński and J. Balasubramaniam (2008). Fuzzy Implications (Studies in Fuzziness and Soft Computing) Springer.
- [2] H. Bustince, P. Burillo, and F. Soria, Automorphism, negations and implication operators, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 134 (2003) 209–229.
- [3] H. Bustince and G. Beliakov and A. Jurio and R. Mesiar and D. Paternain, Image processing using aggregation functions and Soft Computing techniques, In: Proceedings of FUZZ-IEEE 2013, July 7-10, Hyderabad, pp. 1–8, IEEE Publisher.
- [4] G. Cornelis, G. Deschrijver, and E. Kerre, Implications in intuitionistic fuzzy and interval-valued fuzzy set theory: construction, classification and application, *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning*, 35 (2004) 55–95.
- [5] L. Kitainik, “Fuzzy Decision Procedures with Binary Relations”, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publisher, 1993.
- [6] R. Reiser and B. Bedregal and M. Baczyński, Aggregating Fuzzy Implications, *Information Science*. 253 (2013), 126–146.