

# A Simple Proof for a Particular Case of Szabados's Conjecture

Cleber F. Colle<sup>1</sup>

Universidade Federal do ABC, Santo André, SP

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an alphabet with at least two elements. The elements of  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ , called **configurations**, have the form  $\eta = (\eta_{\mathbf{g}})_{\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$ , where  $\eta_{\mathbf{g}} \in \mathcal{A}$  for all  $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ . For each  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , the **shift application**  $T^{\mathbf{u}} : \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^2} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$  is defined by  $(T^{\mathbf{u}}\eta)_{\mathbf{g}} = \eta_{\mathbf{g}-\mathbf{u}}$  for all  $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  and all  $\eta \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ . A configuration  $\eta \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$  is said to be **periodic** if there exists a non-zero vector  $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , called **period** of  $\eta$ , such that  $T^{\mathbf{h}}\eta = \eta$ . If  $\eta$  has two periods linearly independent over  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , we say that  $\eta$  is **fully periodic**.

From now on, we will assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a finite alphabet.

Let  $Orb(\eta) = \{T^{\mathbf{u}}\eta : \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}$  denotes the  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -**orbit of  $\eta \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$** . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is endowed with the discrete topology, then  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$  equipped with the product topology is a metrizable compact space. In particular, for all  $\eta \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ , the set  $\overline{Orb(\eta)}$ , where the bar denotes the closure, is a compact **subshift**, i.e., a closed subset invariant by the  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action  $T^{\mathbf{u}}$ ,  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ . We say that a configuration  $\eta \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$  has **low pattern complexity** if  $|\{(T^{\mathbf{u}}\eta)|_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}| \leq |\mathcal{S}|$  holds for some non-empty, finite set  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ , where  $\cdot|_{\mathcal{S}}$  means the restriction to the set  $\mathcal{S}$ . If in addition  $\mathcal{S}$  is **convex**, i.e., a subset of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  whose convex hull in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , denoted by  $\text{Conv}(\mathcal{S})$ , is closed and  $\mathcal{S} = \text{Conv}(\mathcal{S}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$ , we say that  $\eta$  has **low convex pattern complexity**.

Employing results from algebraic geometry, Jarkko Kari and Michal Szabados [1, 2] proved the following periodic decomposition theorem:

**Theorem 1.1** (Kari and Szabados [1]). *Let  $\eta \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ , with  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ , be a low pattern complexity configuration. Then there exist periodic configurations  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$  such that  $\eta = \eta_1 + \dots + \eta_m$ .*

Let  $\ell \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a line through the origin. Given  $t > 0$ , the  $t$ -neighbourhood of  $\ell$  is defined as  $\ell^t = \{\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : \text{Dist}(\mathbf{g}, \ell) \leq t\}$ , where  $\text{Dist}$  denotes the Euclidean distance between a point and a set. Following Boyle and Lind [3], we say that  $\ell$  is an **expansive** line on  $\overline{Orb(\eta)}$  if there exists  $t > 0$  such that

$$\forall x, y \in \overline{Orb(\eta)}, \quad x|_{\ell^t} = y|_{\ell^t} \implies x = y.$$

Otherwise,  $\ell$  is called a **nonexpansive** line on  $\overline{Orb(\eta)}$ . A particular case of Boyle-Lind Theorem [3, Theorem 3.7] implies that there exists at least one nonexpansive line on  $\overline{Orb(\eta)}$  if the subshift  $\overline{Orb(\eta)}$  is infinite. We remark that nonexpansiveness is the heart of recent advances related to Nivat's conjecture.

If  $\eta = \eta_1 + \dots + \eta_m$  is a minimal periodic decomposition, where by minimal we mean a periodic decomposition with the smallest possible number of periodic configurations, it is easy to see that every nonexpansive line on  $\overline{Orb(\eta)}$  contains a period for some  $\eta_i$ , with  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . In his Ph.D. thesis [4], Michal Szabados conjectured that the converse also holds:

**Conjecture 1.1** (Szabados). *Let  $\eta \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ , with  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ , be a not fully periodic configuration and suppose  $\eta = \eta_1 + \dots + \eta_m$  is a minimal periodic decomposition. If  $\ell \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a line through the origin and there exists  $1 \leq i \leq m$  such that  $\ell$  contains a period for  $\eta_i$ , then  $\ell$  is a nonexpansive line on  $\overline{Orb(\eta)}$ .*

<sup>1</sup>cleber.colle@ufabc.edu.br

Szabados's conjecture is a very recent open problem in symbolic dynamics. In [5], the author solves Szabados's conjecture for low convex pattern configurations. Further partial results related to Szabados's conjecture are given in [6].

In this work, we present a simple proof for Szabados's conjecture in the case that  $\eta = \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3$  is a minimal periodic decomposition. The main idea for the proof is the following: let  $p$  be a prime large enough so that  $\mathcal{A} \subset \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$  and consider the periodic decomposition  $\bar{\eta} = \bar{\eta}_1 + \bar{\eta}_2 + \bar{\eta}_3$ , where the bar denotes the congruence modulo  $p$ . Since each configuration  $\bar{\eta}_i$  is defined on a finite alphabet, it is easy to see that a line through the origin containing a period for  $\bar{\eta}_i$  is nonexpansive. By the pigeonhole principle, we may extend this nonexpansiveness from  $\bar{\eta}_i$  to  $\bar{\eta}$ . The result follows from the fact that  $\bar{\eta}$  and  $\eta$  are essentially the same configurations.

## Referências

- [1] J. Kari e M. Szabados. "An Algebraic Geometric Approach to Nivat's Conjecture". Em: **Information and Computation** 271 (2020), pp. 104–481.
- [2] J. Kari e M. Szabados. "An Algebraic Geometric Approach to Nivat's Conjecture". Em: **In Automata, Languages, and Programming - 42nd International Colloquium, ICALP 2015, Kyoto, Japan, Proceedings, Part II** (2015), pp. 273–285.
- [3] M. Boyle e D. Lind. "Expansive Subdynamics". Em: **Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.** 349 (1997), pp. 55–102.
- [4] M. Szabados. "An Algebraic Approach to Nivat's Conjecture". Tese de doutorado. University of Turku, 2018.
- [5] C. F. Colle. "On Periodic Decompositions and Nonexpansive Lines". Em: **Mathematische Zeitschrift** 303.80 (2023).
- [6] C. F. Colle. "On periodic decompositions, one-sided nonexpansive directions and Nivat's conjecture". Em: **Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems** 43.12 (2023), pp. 4299–4327.